# Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on Al Safety (Version 1.10)

# **Detailed Explanation Document**

Al Safety Institute (March 31, 2025)



Structure of the Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on Al Safety



Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on AI Safety is structured into main guide, Annex (detailed explanation document), and Supplementary document (examples of deliverables).

- Main guide systematically outlines the fundamental considerations for the red teaming methodology, dividing them into three Process (Process 1 to Process 3).
- Annex (detailed explanation document) provides guidance on implementation points when conducting red teaming in accordance with main guide, along with examples of deliverables for each Process.
- Supplementary document (examples of deliverables) presents sample outputs prepared during the red teaming based on main guide, including "Developing risk scenarios, attack scenarios, and results of attack scenarios implementation", "the report of red teaming results", and "the final report".





## **Table of Contents**

**1. Background and Purpose of the Detailed Explanation Document** 

- 2. Role of the Detailed Explanation Document
- **3. Explanation of Each Process**

**Process 1: Planning and Preparation** 

**Process 2: Planning and Conducting Attacks** 

**Process 3: Reporting and Developing Improvement Plans** 

#### **1. Background and Purpose of the Detailed Explanation Document**

The purpose of this document is to expand it into a more practical resource by conducting red teaming in accordance with Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on AI Safety and presenting insights gained from the results as the detailed explanation document.

## Background

- Red teaming (hereinafter referred to as RT) is **a methodology** used by individuals involved in the development and provision of AI systems **to evaluate the effectiveness of risk mitigation measures applied to the target AI system from an attacker's perspective**.
- In September 2024, the "Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on AI Safety" (hereinafter referred to as RT Methodology Guide) was prepared to outline the fundamental considerations for RT.
- The RT Methodology Guide was systematically developed based on the AI Guidelines for Business, as well as a review of domestic and
  international literature and investigations of relevant industry practices. However, Process 2 (Planning and Conducting Attacks) in RT
  requires a high level of expertise, necessitating a more practical guide. To address this need, RT was conducted on an LLM system
  utilizing RAG, and insights gained from the results were incorporated into the guide.

### Purpose

 The purpose of this document is to expand the RT Methodology Guide into a more practical resource by conducting RT in accordance with the guide and presenting insights gained from the results in Annex "detailed explanation document" (hereinafter referred to as this document).

\*The content presented in this document is merely an example, and organizations may modify and implement it as appropriate to suit their specific needs.



#### 2. Role of the Detailed Explanation Document

This document follows the Process flow outlined in main guide, providing sections on [Overview], [Details], and [Reference]. In particular, it focuses on Process 2 (Planning and Conducting Attacks / STEP 6 to STEP 10), which requires a high level of expertise, offering a more practical and detailed guide.





AIS Japan Al Safety Institute

In the **[Details]** section of this document, each of STEP 1 to STEP 15, as outlined in main guide, is explained in terms of RT Items, implementation image, and implementation points.





# 3. Explanation of Each Process

#### 3. Explanation of Each Process



The RT Process consists of three parts: "Planning and Preparation," "Planning and Conducting Attacks," and "Reporting and Developing Improvement Plans."

| Process   | Items   | Chapter in main guide |
|---|---|-----------------------|
| Process 1:<br>Planning and<br>Preparation                             | <ul> <li>✓ Deciding and launch the red team</li> <li>✓ Identify and allocate budget and resources, and select and contract third party</li> <li>✓ Planning</li> <li>✓ Preparing the environment for red teaming</li> <li>✓ Confirming escalation flow</li> </ul>  | Chapter 6.            |
| <u>Process 2:</u><br>Planning and<br>Conducting Attacks               | <ul> <li>✓ Developing risk scenarios</li> <li>✓ Developing attack scenarios</li> <li>✓ Conducting attack scenarios</li> <li>✓ Record keeping during red teaming during red teaming</li> <li>✓ After conducting attack scenarios</li> </ul>  | Chapter 7.            |
| <u>Process 3:</u><br>Reporting and<br>Developing<br>Improvement Plans | <ul> <li>✓ Analyzing the red teaming results</li> <li>✓ Preparing the report of red teaming results and implementing stakeholder review</li> <li>✓ Preparing and reporting the final results</li> <li>✓ Developing and implementing improvement plans</li> <li>✓ Follow-up after improvement</li> </ul> | Chapter 8.            |



# 3. Explanation of Each Process Process 1: Planning and Preparation

|      | 3. Explanation of Each  | Process Process 1  | Pro<br>1 2 2   | cess 1   | Process 2 Process 3   |  | Japan                    |
|------|---|--|--|--|---|--|--------------------------|
|      | [Overview](STEP 1) Lau  | unch the team $\sim$ (STEP 3) Planr  | ing  |  |   |  | Institute                |
| [    | Legend] 🤶 :Attack<br>planner/conduc   | ctor 🖻 :Al system expert   | :Target AI system development and provision manager  | <ul> <li>Other relevant</li> <li>stakeholders</li> </ul>                       | <ul><li>Business executive</li><li>officers</li></ul>             | Project<br>team  | Red<br>team              |
|      |   | Pro  | ocess 1: Planning and  | Preparation  |   |  |                          |
| team | STEP 1<br>Deciding and launch<br>the red team<br>STEP 2<br>Identify and allocate<br>budget and resources, | <ul> <li>The target AI system development and prodepartment of information security and in the proposal for the red teaming and marred teaming.</li> <li>Red team is established within the organization proposal.</li> <li>Provision managers of the target AI system the structure within the organization, and personnel.</li> <li>Other resources such as necessary tools</li> </ul> | rovision manager or the<br>information systems prepares<br>kes a decision on conducting<br>ization as described in the<br>m allocate a budget, determine<br>d assign the necessary | Preparing the<br>proposal<br>Preparing the<br>proposal<br>Proposal<br>Proposal | l<br>lization of launch and implem<br>gnment of necessary personn | • Final Approval of<br>• Decision to Imple<br>mentation structure<br>rel | the proposal<br>ement RT |
|      | and select and contract third party   | <ul> <li>In cases that the organization cannot allo<br/>the red team, the organization should as<br/>planner/conductor.</li> </ul>   | ocate sufficient members for<br>< third party as attack  |  |   |  |                          |
| team | <u>STEP 3</u><br>Planning   | • The red team prepares the re-<br>reviewing necessary actions a<br>understanding overview of th<br>and collaborates with other re   | d teaming plan after<br>such as<br>e target AI system,<br>elevant stakeholders.  | Red team   | Collaboration   |  |                          |

## Project team

Red

•

[Details] (STEP 1) Deciding and launch the red team

Implementation image

**Process 1** 



• Launch the red team within the organization as outlined in the proposal.

Items



**Process 2** 

**Process 3** 

- By gathering detailed information about the department managing the target system for RT, subsequent coordination with stakeholders—such as launching the red team—can be carried out smoothly.
- The composition of the red team should fundamentally include both attack planner/conductor and AI system expert.

Process 1

Process 2 Process 3



[Details] (STEP 2) Identify and allocate budget and resources, and select and contract third party



## Implementation points

• Since RT implementation requires a high level of expertise, utilizing a third party as an attack planner/conductor can be an option if the internal structure is insufficient. Additionally, as confidential internal information may be handled during the RT process, it is essential to implement robust information security protection measures.



Process 2 Process 3



#### [Details](STEP 3) Planning

•



## Implementation image



- As examples of items to be considered in Section 6.3.1 "Understanding the Overview of the Target AI System" and Section 6.3.2 "Understanding the Usage Pattern of the Target AI System" of main guide, the items listed in [Reference] on P.14 can be considered.
- The red teaming plan should not be limited to the items described in STEP 3, but should be structured with consideration of the entire step from STEP 4 onward.

| 3. | Expla | anation | of Each | Process | <b>Process 1</b> |
|----|-------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|
|----|-------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|

Process 1 2 3 4 5

Process 2 Process 3



14

## [Reference] (STEP 3) Examples of Items to be considered in Section 6.3.1 and Section 6.3.2

| Examples of items to      | b be considered in "Understanding the Overview of the<br>Target Al System" | Examples of items to be considered in "Determining Red Teaming Types and<br>Scope of Conducting" |   |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Category                  | Item   | Category   | Item  |  |  |
|                           | Overall system configuration diagram and network diagram                   |  | (A) Usage patterns regarding LLM output                         |  |  |
|                           | of the AI system   | LLM usage patterns   | (B) Usage patterns regarding reference sources of LLM           |  |  |
| Inderstanding the         | Use cases of the AI system   |  | (C) Usage patterns regarding LLM itself                         |  |  |
| overview of the target Al | Operational overview of the AI system                                      | Understanding the  | Use of commercial plugins and libraries                         |  |  |
| system                    | LLM comprising the AI system   | components other than  | Use of OSS plugins and libraries                                |  |  |
|                           | Non-LLM components of the Al system  | LLM  | Use of proprietary plugins and libraries developed in-house     |  |  |
|                           | Data handled   |  | Pre-filtering mechanism to check inputs to the LLM              |  |  |
|                           |  | Fristing defenses  | Defensive measures in the LLM itself                            |  |  |
|                           |  | mechanisms   | Post-filtering mechanism to check outputs from the LLM          |  |  |
|                           |  |  | Reinforcement learning with user feedback on inputs and outputs |  |  |
|                           |  |  | User prompts set for the target LLM                             |  |  |
|                           |  |  | System prompts  |  |  |
|                           |  |  | Deployment environment  |  |  |
|                           |  | Other meterials to   | API parameters  |  |  |
|                           |  | collect  | Status of fine-tuning implementation                            |  |  |
|                           |  |  | Use of user data for training                                   |  |  |
|                           |  |  | Source of training data   |  |  |
|                           |  |  | Information on red teaming conducted by other organizations     |  |  |

>



•

[Details] (STEP 4) Preparing the environment for red teaming

Items

## necessary preparations for the RT execution environment. During this process, the content, impact scope, and

• During this process, the content, impact scope, and schedule of the planned RT should be communicated in advance to relevant stakeholders.

The red team collaborates with the target AI system

development and provision manager to make the

 If necessary, stakeholders should be informed beforehand, and requests can be made for temporary deactivation of monitoring settings, exclusion from monitoring targets, or ignoring alerts.



**Process 3** 



## **Implementation points**

• The execution of RT may result in a large volume of detection logs being generated by the anomaly detection system. Therefore, in addition to preparing the RT execution environment, it is advisable to coordinate in advance with relevant stakeholders—particularly organizations involved with systems that may be affected by the attack scenarios—regarding the RT content, impact scope, and schedule.







#### [Details] (STEP 5) Confirming escalation flow



- Before executing RT, the escalation flow should be confirmed to prepare for unexpected behaviors, failures, or issues that may arise.
- If a critical high-risk vulnerability is discovered, the information should be immediately shared with relevant stakeholders without waiting for the completion of the report of red teaming results. In such cases, the escalation flow for urgent reporting should also be confirmed in advance.

## Implementation image



## Implementation points

• Prior agreements should be established among stakeholders regarding measures for potential failures, operational impacts, or the discovery of critical vulnerabilities. This ensures that any unexpected situations occurring during RT execution can be handled swiftly and effectively.



# 3. Explanation of Each Process Process 2: Planning and Conducting Attacks

| 3. Explanation of Each Process Process 2 | Process 1 | Process 2                            | Process 3 | Π | <br>lawaw |
|--|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|---|-----------|
|  | 6-1       | 6-2 6-3 7-1 7-2 7-3 8-1 8-2 8-3 9 10 |           |   | Japan     |
|  |           |                                      |           |   | AI Safety |

Overview] (STEP 6) Developing risk scenarios



Institute

#### [Details] (STEP 6-1) Understanding the system configuration

Items

20

 Based on the information obtained from Section 6.3.1
 "Understanding the Overview of the Target AI System" of main guide, the system configuration should be identified, and the flow of information between LLM inputs/outputs and other components should be organized.

## **Implementation image**

**Process 3** 





## **Implementation points**

- Organize a more detailed flow of information between LLM inputs/outputs and other components based on the system configuration diagram identified in Section 6.3.1 "Understanding the Overview of the Target AI System" of main guide.
- Figure 5 in Section 6.3.1 of main guide provides a reference example of an AI system configuration composed of two environments (development/operation).
- In [Example of deliverables: The report of red teaming results (In Japanese)] \*, an example of a system configuration diagram is provided for a RAG-based internal business data utilization chatbot service.

\*Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on AI Safety>Supplementary Document(Example of deliverables)>The report of red teaming results (In Japanese)



Process 2

6-1 6-2 6-3 7-1 7-2 7-3 8-1 8-2 8-3 9 10



#### Process 1

6-1 6-2 6-3 7-1 7-2 7-3 8-1 8-2 8-3 9 10

Process 2



[Details] (STEP 6-2) Identifying AI Safety evaluation perspectives to be considered and information assets to be protected



- Identify the information assets that need protection based on the services and functions within the system, with a particular focus on critical information that must be safeguarded from attackers.
- Verify the required levels for each evaluation perspective of AI Safety.

## Implementation image

**Process 3** 





- "The required levels for each evaluation perspective of AI Safety" should be defined by each organization, considering the characteristics of the individual AI system and the type of information handled.
- In [Developing risk scenarios, attack scenarios, and results of attack scenarios implementation (In Japanese)] and [Example of deliverables: The report of red teaming results (In Japanese)] \*2, an example of the evaluation of required levels for AI Safety perspectives is provided.

<sup>\*1</sup> Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on AI Safety>Supplementary Document(Example of deliverables)> Developing risk scenarios, attack scenarios, and results of attack scenarios implementation (In Japanese)\*2 Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on AI Safety>Supplementary Document(Example of deliverables)> The report of red teaming results (In Japanese)

#### Process 1

Process 2



[Details] (STEP 6-3) Developing risk scenarios based on system configuration and usage patterns

- Items
- Develop risk scenarios.
- Risk scenarios is a scenario that specifically anticipates potential risks in an AI system and its operational environment, clarifying where threats may arise and their potential impact.
- For example, it can be developed by closely collaborating with relevant stakeholders while following the flowchart shown in the diagram on the right (implementation image).

## Implementation image

**Process 3** 



- Consider the items corresponding to the roles of each stakeholder (e.g., attack planner/conductor, the department of information systems and information security) and develop risk scenarios while maintaining close collaboration.
- The [Reference] slide on the next page and [Example of deliverables: Developing risk scenarios, attack scenarios, and results of attack scenarios implementation (In Japanese)] \* provide an explanation of the key considerations and procedures for developing risk scenarios.

<sup>\*</sup> Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on AI Safety>Supplementary Document(Example of deliverables)> Developing risk scenarios, attack scenarios, and results of attack scenarios 22 implementation (In Japanese)

|   | [Reference          | (STEP 6-3) I  | tems for con   | sideration by                | each stakeh                                | 6-1 6-2 6-3 7-1 7-2<br>nolder in developing risk se     | 7-3 8-1 8-2 8<br>cenarios                    | -3 9 10  |  | AIS          | Japan<br>Al Safety<br>Institute                     |
|---|---------------------|---|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--------------|---|
|   |                     | Image: Control of the contro | UNDERSECTION OF CONTRACT OF CO |                              | Example<br>loping<br>s, and r<br>lement    | e of deliverable<br>risk scenarios,<br>esults of attack | es]<br>attack<br>(scenar<br>ese) *           |  |  |              |   |
|   |                     |   | [L   | egend] Actor and             | ttack<br>anner/conductor<br>Items for cons | :Al system expert                                       | :Target Al syst<br>and provision<br>cenarios | tem development<br>manager                           | • :Other rel<br>• stakehold                                    | evant<br>ers |   |
| ActorAttack planner/conductorAl system expert (data scientists)<br>Other relevant stakeholders (the department in charge of information<br>system, department in charge of information security)Target Al system development<br>and provision manager<br>Al system expert (domain experts)<br>Other relevant stakeholders (the<br>risk management department)All member (brainstorming)<br>All member (brainstorming) |                     |   |  |                              |  |   |  | ning)  |  |              |   |
| Row   | В                   | С   | D  | E                            | F  | G·H   | I  | J-L  | О-Х  | Y-AA         | AB • AC   |
| ltems<br>for<br>consider<br>ation   | Areas of<br>concern | Overview of<br>attack<br>techniques   | Potential risks  | Feasibility of the<br>attack | Prerequisites                              | Impact on the system                                    | Business risks<br>and business<br>impact     | Characteristics<br>of end user and<br>potential harm | Required levels<br>for AI Safety<br>evaluation<br>perspectives | Overall risk | Determinati<br>on of RT<br>implementa<br>tion scope |

\* Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on AI Safety>Supplementary Document(Example of deliverables)> Developing risk scenarios, attack scenarios, and results of attack scenarios implementation (In Japanese)

Easter all a contracts of the set of the set

Note that the format of the example in [Example of deliverables] differs from the Developing Attack Scenarios examples (Tables 4–6) in Section 7.2.3 of main guide. However, the method presented in the deliverables example is only one approach, and organizations may adapt and modify their implementation methods as needed.

23

|                                  | 3. Explana  | tion of Each I   | Process Proc  | ess 2   |  | Process 1  | 6-2 6-3 7-1 7-2  | Process 2   | P<br>3-3 9 10   | rocess 3   | ΔΙς  | Japan<br>Al Safety   |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
|                                  | [Reference  | 9](STEP 6-3) E   | example of pr   | ocess for ea  | ch Item in de  | velopingrisk   | scenarios  |   |   |  |  | Institute  |
|                                  |   |  | [L  | .egend] 🛃 :A<br>pl  | ttack<br>anner/conductor   | Al syste   | em expert  | :Target Al sys  | tem development<br>1 manager  | :Other rel   | evant<br>Iers  |  |
|                                  |   |  |   | Actor and   | l Items for cons   | sideration in de   | veloping risk s  | cenarios  |   |  |  |  |
| Attack planner/conductor         |   | Other relevant st<br>system, o   | Al system expert (data scientists)<br>Other relevant stakeholders (the department in charge of information<br>system, department in charge of information security)   |   |  | Target Al system<br>and provisi<br>Al system expert<br>Other relevant s<br>risk manageme   | m development<br>on manager<br>(domain experts)<br>takeholders (the<br>ent department)   | All member (brainstorming)  |   |  |  |  |
| Row                              | В   | С  | D   | E   | F  | G  | • н  | I   | J-L   | О-Х  | Y-AA   | AB • AC  |
| ltems<br>for<br>onsider<br>ation | Areas of<br>concern   | Overview of<br>attack<br>techniques  | Potential risks   | Feasibility of the<br>attack  | Prerequisites  | Impact on  | the system   | Business risks<br>and<br>business impact  | Characteristics<br>of end user and<br>potential harm  | Required levels<br>for AI Safety<br>evaluation<br>perspectives   | Overall risk   | Determinati<br>on of RT<br>implementa<br>tion scope  |
| xample<br>of<br>process          | Derive potential<br>attack targets from<br>the system<br>configuration<br>diagram of the<br>target AI system by<br>identifying areas of<br>concern.<br>Based on the<br>required levels for<br>AI Safety<br>evaluation<br>perspectives,<br>determine key<br>evaluation<br>perspectives in<br>advance and<br>conduct the<br>analysis utilizing<br>expert knowledge. | Identify potential<br>vulnerabilities for the<br>listed areas of<br>concern and derive<br>an overview of<br>possible attack<br>techniques.<br>Refer to known<br>vulnerability lists<br>such as OWASP LLM<br>Top 10, and analyze<br>the system<br>configuration and<br>usage patterns of the<br>target AI system to<br>evaluate relevant<br>attack methods. | Consider the system<br>configuration, usage<br>patterns, and critical<br>information assets of<br>the target AI system,<br>identify the potential<br>risks that may arise if<br>an attack succeeds<br>against the identified<br>areas of concern. | Evaluate the<br>feasibility of the<br>identified areas of<br>concern and attack<br>techniques by<br>determining how<br>likely the attacks can<br>be executed.<br>Analyze the attack<br>methods, associated<br>risks, and<br>prerequisites for a<br>successful attack,<br>while considering the<br>system configuration<br>and usage patterns<br>of the target Al<br>system. | Based on the<br>overview of attack<br>techniques, conduct<br>additional research if<br>necessary to<br>determine the<br>conditions under<br>which the attack<br>could successfully<br>be executed. | Expected level of<br>Impact on the<br>System<br>Determine the extent<br>of the system impact<br>if the identified risk<br>materializes.<br>Evaluate this based<br>on the required<br>levels for AI Safety<br>evaluation<br>perspectives,<br>considering the key<br>evaluation<br>perspectives that<br>should be prioritized. | <ul> <li>Expected scope of<br/>Impact on the<br/>System</li> <li>Determine the scope<br/>of impact if the risk<br/>materializes,<br/>including the<br/>referenced<br/>knowledge database<br/>alone, the LLM<br/>system, internal<br/>systems other than<br/>the LLM system, and<br/>effects on customers.</li> </ul> | Derive business risks<br>and business impact<br>by evaluating the<br>level and scope of<br>impact on the<br>system if an attack<br>succeeds,<br>considering both the<br>effects on the<br>organization itself<br>and stakeholders.<br>*Include the impact<br>on both parties. | Identify the<br>characteristics of the<br>target system's<br>expected end user,<br>considering both<br>attackers and victims.<br>For attackers, list<br>their skills and<br>motivations to use as<br>reference<br>information for<br>developing risk<br>scenarios.<br>For victims, outline<br>end user attributes<br>and enumerate the<br>direct and indirect<br>harm that could<br>result. | Determine which<br>evaluation<br>perspectives the risk<br>scenarios aligns with<br>and create matrix<br>table.<br>Refer to the required<br>levels for AI Safety<br>evaluation<br>perspectives defined<br>in the reference<br>materials (*) to<br>ensure<br>comprehensive<br>coverage.<br>If any evaluation<br>perspectives are<br>insufficiently<br>addressed, the risk<br>scenarios are<br>redeveloped. | The RT leader<br>or responsible<br>person derives<br>the overall risk<br>based on the<br>feasibility of<br>the attack and<br>its impact on<br>the system.<br>Refer to the<br>next page for<br>details on the<br>calculation<br>method and<br>relationships<br>of overall risk. | The RT leader<br>or responsible<br>person<br>determines<br>whether to<br>proceed with<br>RT<br>implementatio<br>n based on the<br>overall risk<br>evaluation<br>results and<br>documents<br>the decision<br>along with the<br>rationale. |

\* Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on Al Safety>Supplementary Document(Example of deliverables)> Developing risk scenarios, attack scenarios, and results of attack scenarios implementation (In Japanese)> "(STEP 6-2) The required levels for each evaluation perspective of Al Safety" sheet 24

#### 3. Explanation of Each Process Process 2 6-1 6-2 6-3 7-1 7-2 7-3 8-1 8-2 8-3 9 10

#### [Reference] (STEP 6-3) Example of the overall risk evaluation process

- As an example of an overall risk evaluation method, the feasibility of the attack and its impact on the system can be considered.
- The impact on the system should be assessed by considering business risks and impacts, the characteristics of end users, and the required levels for AI Safety evaluation perspectives.



#### Process 1

6-1 6-2 6-3 7-1 7-2 7-3 8-1 8-2 8-3 9 10

Process 2



Process 3

#### [Reference] (STEP 6-3) Example of metrics for the feasibility of attack, impact on the system, and risk evaluation

• Classifying the feasibility of an attack and its impact on the system can help derive overall risk evaluation metrics.

| Levels<br>(Scoring) | Feasibility of the attack  | Impact on the system   |  |  |  |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Explanation         | Determine the likelihood of attack execution (occurrence).<br>The following are examples for each level.   | Determine the impact on the system.<br>The following are examples for each level.  |  |  |  |
| H(+3)               | -No specialized technical knowledge required<br>-Executable with common tools<br>-Known attack techniques can be reused<br>-No need to understand internal mechanisms                    | -Complete system shutdown<br>-Direct leakage of confidential information<br>-Total failure of critical functions<br>-Widespread impact on users<br>-Severe disruption to business continuity |  |  |  |
| M(+2)               | -Basic technical knowledge required<br>-Creation of custom tools needed<br>-Modification of existing attack techniques required<br>-Basic understanding of the system necessary          | -Temporary shutdown of specific functions<br>-Partial information leakage<br>-Significant performance degradation<br>-Limited impact on specific users                                       |  |  |  |
| L(+1)               | -Advanced expertise required<br>-Development of new attack techniques needed<br>-Detailed understanding of the system necessary<br>-Special privileges or specific environments required | -Minor functional disruptions<br>-Exposure of non-confidential information<br>-Temporary performance degradation<br>-Extremely limited impact<br>-Manageable within normal operations        |  |  |  |

| Overall risk evaluation   |       |       |       |       |  |  |            |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|------------|--|--|--|
| Feasibility of the attack |       |       |       |       |  |  | [Criteria] |  |  |  |
|                           |       | H(+3) | M(+2) | L(+1) |  |  | н          | Requires action in the current system                    |  |  |
| Impact on the<br>system   | H(+3) | H(6)  | H(5)  | M(4)  |  |  | M          | Requires action in the current system or during the next |  |  |
|                           | M(+2) | H(5)  | M(4)  | M(3)  |  |  |            | replacement  |  |  |
|                           | L(+1) | M(4)  | M(3)  | L(2)  |  |  | L          | No action required in the current system                 |  |  |

Note: This calculation logic is only an example, and organizations may use their own risk evaluation methods or other calculation logic.

| 3. Explanation of Each Process Process 2        | Process 1 | Process 2 | Process 3 | A C Japan                  |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|
| [Overview] (STEP 7) Developing attack scenarios |           |           |           | AIS AI Safety<br>Institute |
|   |           |           |           |                            |

| Red team   |   |
|--|---|
|  |   |
| STEP 7<br>Developing<br>attack<br>scenarios       • The attack<br>planner/con<br>ductor<br>examines       • Investigating major component specifications         • Derving RT implementation options based on the classification of each component within the<br>system configuration       • Investigating major component specifications         • Developing<br>attack<br>scenarios       • Investigating major component specifications       • Deriving RT implementation options based on the classification of each component within the<br>system configuration         • Determining target environment, access points for red teaming<br>to the risk<br>scenarios<br>developed,<br>and<br>develops<br>specific<br>attack<br>scenarios<br>to be<br>conducted<br>by red<br>teaming.       • Determining target environment to conduct RT for each component         • Developing attack scenarios<br>to be<br>conducted<br>by red<br>teaming.       • Developing attack scenarios<br>• Developing the attack based on the typical defense mechanisms in LLMs<br>• Considering real-world attack techniques, attack trends, actual incident cases, and<br>commonly overlooked security gaps<br>• Beferring to security frameworks as well | STEP 7<br>Developing<br>attack<br>scenarios |

#### [Details] (STEP 7-1) Investigating major component specifications

### Items

Based on the information obtained from Section 7.1.1
 "Understanding the System Configuration" and Section
 6.3.3 "Determining Red Teaming Types and Scope of
 Conducting" in main guide, classify each component
 within the system configuration as a commercial service,
 open-source software (OSS), or in-house development.
 Using the classification results, derive detailed options for
 RT implementation methods.

## Implementation image

Process 2

**Process 3** 



## **Implementation points**

Process 1

6-2 6-3

• By investigating major component specifications, it becomes clear whether white-box testing is feasible or if only blackbox testing is feasible. This understanding allows for the derivation of detailed options for RT implementation methods.

| 3. | Expl | anat | ion o | f Each | Process | <b>Process 2</b> |
|----|------|------|-------|--------|---------|------------------|
|----|------|------|-------|--------|---------|------------------|

#### Process 1

6-1 6-2 6-3 7-1 7-2 7-3 8-1 8-2 8-3 9 10

Process 2



**Process 3** 

[Details] (STEP 7-2) Determining target environment, access points for red teaming



- For each component, evaluate whether RT should be conducted in the in-operation environment, staging environment, or development environment.
- While considering access points for RT execution, this step should be limited to identifying possible access point options.

#### Process 1

6-1 6-2 6-3 7-1 7-2 7-3 8-1 8-2 8-3 9 10

Process 2



#### [Details] (STEP 7-3) Developing attack scenarios

## Items

- The attack planner/conductor develops attack scenarios based on the risk scenarios.
- Attack scenarios are a plan that defines, from an attacker's perspective, which environment to target, which access points to use, and what combination of attack techniques to employ, based on a specific risk scenario.
- It is effective to consider attack scenarios from multiple perspectives.

## Implementation image



- Considering representative defense mechanisms in LLM systems, reported attack techniques, attack trends, real-world incidents, and commonly overlooked security measures can lead to the effective execution of RT.
- An example of developing attack scenarios is provided in [Example of deliverables: Developing risk scenarios, attack scenarios, and results of attack scenarios implementation (In Japanese)] \*.

<sup>\*</sup> Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on AI Safety>Supplementary Document(Example of deliverables)> Developing risk scenarios, attack scenarios, and results of attack scenarios implementation (In Japanese)

| 3. Explanation of Each Process Process 2       | Process 1 Process 2 Process 2 Process 3 Proces 3 Pro | Japan                      |
|--|--|----------------------------|
| [Overview](STEP 8) Conducting attack scenarios |  | AIS AI Safety<br>Institute |

| Process 2: Planning and Conducting Attacks |   |  |                            |  |  |
|--|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|
|  | Red team                                    |  |                            |  |  |
| Red team                                   | STEP 8<br>Conducting<br>attack<br>scenarios | <ul> <li>Attack<br/>scenarios<br/>are<br/>conducted<br/>by<br/>dropping<br/>specific<br/>attack<br/>signatures.</li> </ul> | Step 8-3 Step 8-2 Step 8-1 | <ul> <li>Red teaming on individual prompts         <ul> <li>Aiming to identify effective attack techniques</li> <li>Utilizing automation tools for exploration is efficient; however, manual verification is also necessary</li> </ul> </li> <li>Developing attack signatures and procedures for conducting attack scenarios         <ul> <li>Compiling the finalized attack scenarios and procedures for conducting attack scenarios</li> <li>Working backward from the perspective of triggering unexpected behaviors to develop attack signatures</li> </ul> </li> <li>Red teaming for the entire LLM system         <ul> <li>Verifying the results based on the procedures for conducting attack scenarios</li> <li>Iterating by tuning attack signatures based on output feedback or exploring alternative attack signatures</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |  |

### [Details](STEP 8-1) Red teaming on individual prompts

Items

- To identify fundamental vulnerabilities and attack techniques in LLMs, conduct RT targeting individual prompts.
- Input attack signatures into the target system.
- An attack signature refers to a specific input or pattern used to execute a particular attack technique.

## Implementation image Red team

Process 2

6-1 6-2 6-3 7-1 7-2 7-3 8-1 8-2 8-3 9 10



## **Implementation points**

- In RT targeting individual prompts, a large number of attack signatures that can be prepared independently of the target system are input to identify effective attacks. The next page explains the positioning of RT for individual prompts.
- The results of RT for individual prompts using automation tools are provided in [Example of deliverables:Developing risk scenarios, attack scenarios, and results of attack scenarios implementation (In Japanese)] \*.

AIS Japan AI Safe

**Process 3** 

<sup>\*</sup> Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on AI Safety>Supplementary Document(Example of deliverables)> Developing risk scenarios, attack scenarios, and results of attack scenarios implementation (In Japanese)



#### [Reference]Role of red teaming on individual prompts

- During RT for individual prompts, a large number of attack signatures that can be prepared independently of the target system are fed into identify effective attacks.
- Since prompt injection attacks need to be conducted at scale, leveraging automation tools is recommended. However, for attack techniques not yet supported by these tools, such as multi-turn attacks, manual execution should also be considered.



33

#### Process 1

Process 2

AIS Japan Al Safety Institute

**Process 3** 

[Details] (STEP 8-2) Developing attack signatures and procedures for conducting attack scenarios



## Implementation points

- Consider outputs that could introduce risks to the system and develop input attack signatures by reverse-engineering the desired outputs from the perspective of the attack planner/conductor.
- Instead of directly using the attack signatures from individual prompt testing, modifications may be necessary. There is no fixed procedure for making these modifications, making it essential to stay updated on the latest attack techniques and trends.
- An example of developing attack signatures is provided in [Example of deliverables: The report of red teaming results (In Japanese)] \*1. In addition, the actual procedures for conducting the attack scenarios is shown in [Example of deliverables: Developing risk scenarios, attack scenarios, and results of attack scenarios implementation (In Japanese)\*2.

\*1 Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on AI Safety>Supplementary Document(Example of deliverables)> The report of red teaming results (In Japanese)\*2 Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on AI Safety>Supplementary Document(Example of deliverables)> Developing risk scenarios, attack scenarios, and results of attack scenarios implementation (In Japanese)

#### Details (STEP 8-3) Red teaming for the entire LLM system

### Items

- Based on the procedures for conducting attack scenarios ٠ developed in **STEP 8-2**, input a series of attack signatures into the target AI system and verify the results.
- Review the output results of the attack signatures, and if . necessary, modify them to produce outputs that align with the goals of the attack planner/conductor, then reinput them.
- The attack planner/conductor inputs attack signatures • multiple times to refine the attacks.

## **Implementation image**

#### **Red team**



Procedure A-1

Attack signatures

Attack method X

Attack signature

Attack method Z

Inputting attack signatures based on the procedures for conducting attack scenarios

**Process 3** 



to attack sce

Process 2 6-1 6-2 6-3 7-1 7-2 7-3 8-1 8-2 8-3 9 10



## **Implementation points**

Process 1

- In LLM systems, behavior is probabilistic and non-deterministic, meaning an attack may succeed after multiple • attempts. Therefore, even when using the same attack signature, it is recommended to attempt the attack multiple times.
- The results of the attack scenarios are shown in [Example of deliverables: Developing risk scenarios, attack scenarios, . and results of attack scenarios implementation (In Japanese)\*.

<sup>\*</sup> Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on AI Safety>Supplementary Document(Example of deliverables)> Developing risk scenarios, attack scenarios, and results of attack scenarios implementation (In Japanese)



#### Process 1

6-1 6-2 6-3 7-1 7-2 7-3 8-1 8-2 8-3 9 10

Process 2

#### AIS Japan Al Safety Institute

[Details] (STEP 9) Record keeping during red teaming

## Items

- To preserve detailed evidence of the conducted RT, obtain record during red teaming.
- The collected records should be properly protected following the organization's document management policies and confidential information handling regulations and stored for the designated retention period.

## Implementation image

**Process 3** 



Ensuring RT execution records are collected with the following considerations:

- For RT using automation tools, logs should be collected through the tool's logging functionality
- For manual RT, one approach is to set up a proxy along the attack path to capture all passing attack signatures
- If an attack is successful, capture screenshots (screen captures) of the LLM's output results as evidence

- For RT using automation tools, logs should be collected using the logging functionality of the tool. For manual RT, one approach is to set up a proxy along the attack path to capture all passing attack signatures.
- If an attack is successful, a screenshot (screen capture) should be taken as evidence.

#### [Details] (STEP 10) After conducting attack scenarios

6-1 6-2 6-3 7-1 7-2 7-3 8-1 8-2 8-3 9 10



## Items

- Notify relevant stakeholders, such as the target AI system development and provision manager and the department of information systems and information security, after conducting attack scenarios.
- Delete any temporary accounts used for RT. Additionally, if defense measures were temporarily changed, revert them to their original settings.



## **Implementation points**

• After RT completion, revert any temporary accounts issued for RT and restore modified settings to their original state.



# 3. Explanation of Each Process

Process 3: Reporting and Developing Improvement Plans



#### Process 1

**Process 2** 

11

## Process 3

#### AIS Japan Al Safety Institute

#### [Details] (STEP 11) Analyzing the red teaming results

## Items

- The attack planner/conductor analyzes the results obtained from RT.
- If necessary, the attack planner/conductor may request additional information from relevant departments, such as the target AI system development and provision manager or the department in charge of information system and information security, to support the analysis. Following this, the prerequisites for discovered vulnerabilities are verified, and potential damages, business impact, and necessary countermeasures are discussed.
- If a critical and urgent vulnerability is identified, it must be immediately shared with relevant stakeholders, and countermeasures should be considered.

## Implementation image

14

15



## **Implementation points**

• The attack planner/conductor collaborates with relevant departments and, if necessary, requests additional information to support the analysis. The prerequisites for discovered vulnerabilities are also verified, and an understanding of potential damages and business impact is aligned with stakeholders.

#### Process 1

**Process 2** 

Details (STEP 12) Preparing the report of red teaming results and implementing stakeholder review



The attack planner/conductor compiles logs, evidence, • and other records based on the discovered vulnerabilities and presents them as an overview of RT.

Items

The attack planner/conductor then prepares the report of ٠ red teaming results and conducts a review with the target AI system development and provision manager and other relevant stakeholders to ensure there are no factual inaccuracies.



**Process 3** 

13

- At the stage of preparing the report of red teaming results, the focus is on fact-checking the results, rather than analyzing business . impact.
- In the report of red teaming results, providing descriptions of the attack signatures used and explaining how the results pose risks or . harm helps stakeholders develop a shared understanding more effectively.
- An example of the report of red teaming results is provided in [Example of deliverables: the report of red teaming results (In Japanese)] \*. ٠

\* Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on AI Safety>Supplementary Document(Example of deliverables)> the report of red teaming results (In Japanese)



#### Process 1

**Process 2** 

Process 3

15



#### [Details](STEP 13) Preparing and reporting the final results

## Items

- The target AI system development and provision manager prepares the final report based on the report of red teaming results prepared by the attack planner/conductor.
- In the final report, considerations are made regarding business impact based on the system-level risks identified in the report of red teaming results, from the perspective of actual business operations. Subsequently, a risk-based evaluation is conducted on the likelihood of attack success and potential damages.
- If necessary, the final report is presented to business executive officers.

## Implementation image



## **Implementation points**

- While the purpose of the report of red teaming results is fact verification, the purpose of the final report is to analyze business impact from an actual business perspective and conduct a risk-based evaluation.
- The metrics for risk-based evaluation can be referenced from the overall risk evaluation metrics considered during developing risk scenarios.
- An example of the final report is provided in [Example of deliverables: the final report (In Japanese)] \*.

\* Guide to Red Teaming Methodology on AI Safety>Supplementary Document(Example of deliverables)> the final report (In Japanese)

.

•

•

[Details] (STEP 14) Developing and implementing improvement plans



**Process 2** 

Process 3

13

12

14

15

Process 1

- When preparing the improvement plans, prioritize the improvement measures listed in the final report based on urgency and risk level, considering their business impact.
- Consider not only system-related improvements but also organizational measures, such as revising operational processes.

#### Process 1

## Process 3



#### [Details](STEP 15) Follow-up after improvement

## Items

- The progress of improvement measures implemented based on the improvement plans should be periodically reviewed in executive meetings or other relevant forums.
- After implementing the improvement measures, it is recommended to verify the status of countermeasure settings, conduct document reviews, or, if necessary, conduct RT again. Subsequently, it is advisable to confirm that the identified vulnerabilities have been properly addressed and that the associated risks have been mitigated.

## Implementation image

#### **Project team**

**Process 2** 



#### Conducting the following actions as part of the follow-up:

15

- Verifying the implementation status of countermeasures
- Conducting document reviews
- Conducting RT again if necessary

Providing progress reports on improvement measures as needed



Business executive officers

## **Implementation points**

• By ensuring strict progress management and conducting RT again, if necessary, a continuous improvement cycle can be maintained, enabling the promotion of effective and practical improvement activities.

